#### **BROWN & CONNERY, LLP**

William M. Tambussi, Esquire Joseph T. Carney, Esquire Jonathan L. Triantos, Esquire 360 Haddon Avenue Westmont, New Jersey 08108 (856) 854-8900 Counsel for The Cooper Health System

## United States District Court District of New Jersey

THE COOPER HEALTH SYSTEM, A NEW JERSEY NON-PROFIT CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

V.

MAXIS HEALTH SYSTEM,

Defendant,

-and-

MAXIS HEALTH SYSTEM and TRINITY HEALTH,

Counterclaim Plaintiffs,

v.

THE COOPER HEALTH SYSTEM, A NEW JERSEY NON-PROFIT CORPORATION,

Counterclaim Defendant.

Case No.: 1:18-cv-00702-RMB-AMD

THE COOPER HEALTH SYSTEM'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AGAINST MAXIS HEALTH SYSTEM

Plaintiff, The Cooper Health System, a New Jersey Non-Profit Corporation ("Plaintiff" or "Cooper"), by and through its attorneys, files this Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and states the following claims against Defendant, Maxis Health 7466276

System ("Defendant" or "Maxis") (Cooper and Maxis may collectively be referred to as "Parties"):

## NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. Cooper brings this action to enforce its rights under the Letter of Intent ("LOI") between Cooper and Maxis dated August 30, 2017, and fully executed on August 31, 2017, to recover Cooper's payment of \$15 million held in escrow, together with all accumulated interest. As set forth more fully below, Cooper is entitled to such a declaration because it decided not to proceed with the proposed transaction negotiated between Cooper and Maxis, first, due to the identification of numerous Due Diligence Issues, as defined by the LOI, and second, Maxis' breach of the LOI.
- 2. Cooper also brings this action to recover the expenses it incurred, in excess of \$5 million, through entering into the LOI with Maxis and proceeding through an exhaustive due diligence process.

## **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff, Cooper, is a New Jersey non-profit corporation with its principal place of business at One Cooper Plaza, Camden, New Jersey 08103.
- 4. Defendant, Maxis, a division of Trinity Health, is a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation and is the sole corporate member of two New Jersey non-profit corporations—Lourdes, located and doing business in Camden, New Jersey, and St. Francis, located and doing business in Trenton, New Jersey. Upon information and belief, Maxis' principal place of business is located in Pennsylvania.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because there is complete diversity of citizenship between the Parties and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs.
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants are corporations authorized to conduct business in the State of New Jersey, Defendants regularly conduct business in the State of New Jersey, Defendants have sufficient minimum contacts with the State of New Jersey, and/or a substantial portion of the events, omissions, and damages giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in the State of New Jersey.
- 7. Venue is proper in this forum pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial portion of the events, omissions, and damages giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in the District of New Jersey.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

## **Preliminary Discussions**

- 8. In or around December 2016, the Parties commenced preliminary discussions regarding a potential transaction, under which Cooper would acquire all of Maxis' membership rights, title, and interests held in Lourdes and St. Francis, and under which Cooper would become the sole corporate member of Lourdes and St. Francis ("Transaction").
- 9. Between December 2016 and August 31, 2017, the Parties continued discussions regarding the Transaction.

#### Letter of Intent

- 10. On August 31, 2017, Cooper and Maxis fully executed a Letter of Intent ("LOI") dated August 30, 2017 setting forth a proposed framework for the continued negotiation of the Transaction. LOI (Ex. A to Cooper's original Complaint filed December 18, 2017) ¶ 1.
- 11. As set forth in the LOI, Cooper and Maxis agreed to negotiate in good faith regarding terms of a Membership Transfer Agreement to effectuate the proposed Transaction. Id. ¶ 2.
- 12. As part of their continued good faith negotiations, Cooper and Maxis further agreed to cooperate with the other's due diligence investigations. Id. ¶ 4 (due diligence provision). Maxis agreed to provide such financial, operational, and other due diligence information consistent with a transaction of this type; Cooper agreed to cooperate with Maxis' due diligence carried out to ensure Cooper had an adequate plan of financing in place to complete the Transaction. Id.
- 13. Pursuant to the terms of the LOI, Cooper and Maxis anticipated that they would complete their due diligence within seventy-five (75) days from the date of the LOI (while Cooper and Maxis were concurrently negotiating the Membership Transfer Agreement). <u>Id.</u> The LOI further provides that either Cooper or Maxis could extend the due diligence period and date for signing the Membership Transfer Agreement for an additional fifteen (15) business days upon written notice to the other party. <u>Id.</u>

- 14. Maxis further agreed that during the term of the LOI, it would terminate any ongoing discussions with any other party pertaining to a transaction in New Jersey, and further would refrain from soliciting, negotiating, or otherwise entering into any joint venture, partnership, merger, consolidation, affiliation, sale, or any other arrangement or relationship in New Jersey similar to the Transaction negotiated between Maxis and Cooper. <u>Id.</u> ¶ 5 (exclusivity provision).
- 15. In consideration of Maxis agreeing to the exclusivity provision set forth in Paragraph 5 of the LOI, Cooper agreed to make a good faith deposit of \$15 million ("Escrow Fund") into an interest-bearing escrow account held by Bank of America pursuant to a separate Escrow Agreement executed by Cooper and Maxis. Id. ¶ 6 (escrow deposit provision).
- 16. Pursuant to Paragraph 6 of the LOI, Cooper was entitled to a return of the initial escrow deposit with all accumulated interest if Cooper determined not to execute the Membership Transfer Agreement due to a "Due Diligence Issue" as defined in Paragraph 6 of the LOI, if Maxis breached one or more of the binding provisions of the LOI, or if Maxis determined not to proceed to execute the Membership Transfer Agreement. Id.
- 17. Pursuant to Paragraph 6 of the LOI, Maxis would be given the opportunity to mitigate the problem before Cooper made a final determination not to proceed with the transaction due to Due Diligence Issues. <u>Id.</u>

## **Escrow Agreement**

- 18. On September 14, 2017, Maxis and Cooper entered into an Escrow Agreement and designated Bank of America, National Association, as the Escrow Agent to hold the Escrow Fund. Escrow Agreement (Ex. B to Cooper's original Complaint filed December 18, 2017).
- 19. Pursuant to Section 3.1, the Escrow Agent will disburse funds held in the Escrow Account upon receipt of written notice submitted jointly by Cooper and Maxis ("joint disbursement notice"). <u>Id.</u> § 3.1.
- 20. Pursuant to Section 3.2, either Cooper or Maxis (the "Claiming Party") may unilaterally give written notice to the Escrow Agent and the other party requesting a disbursement of all or a portion of the Escrow Fund to it, and must specify in reasonable detail the basis for such distribution ("unilateral disbursement notice"). <u>Id.</u> § 3.2.
- 21. Upon receipt of a unilateral disbursement notice, the other party may provide notice ("counter notice") to the Claiming Party and Escrow Agent that it is disputing the claim to the Escrow Fund and the issue will be resolved as provided in Section 3.3. Id. § 3.3. If no counter notice is received by the Escrow Agent within ten (10) business days, then the dollar amount of the distribution claimed in the original Notice shall be deemed "established" and the Escrow Agent shall pay the Claiming Party from the Escrow Fund the dollar amount requested in the Notice. Id. § 3.2.

22. Section 3.3, which governs how a disputed claim to the Escrow Fund shall be resolved, provides, in relevant part:

If a Counter Notice is given with respect to a Claim, the Escrow Agent shall make payment with respect thereto only in accordance with (i) joint written instructions of . . . Cooper and Maxis, or (ii) an order, judgment or decree accompanied by the written certification of the presenting party to the effect that such order is the final, nonappealable ruling of a court of competent jurisdiction, which the presenting party must simultaneously serve upon the other party and the receiving party may dispute by providing evidence to the Escrow Agent within five (5) Business Days, upon which certification Escrow Agent shall conclusively rely without independent verification (a "Final Order"). Escrow Agent shall act on a Final Order without further question.

[<u>Id.</u> § 3.3].

23. On September 15, 2017, Cooper deposited \$15 million with the Escrow Agent as agreed to in the LOI and specified in Article I, Section (a) of the Escrow Agreement.

## Disclosure and/or Discovery of Due Diligence Issues

- 24. After execution of the LOI on August 31, 2017, Cooper proceeded with the due diligence phase pursuant to Paragraph 4 of the LOI.
- 25. On November 6, 2017, notably sixty-seven (67) days after execution of the LOI, with only eight (8) days remaining in the due diligence phase, and almost an entire year after the Parties commenced preliminary discussions regarding the Transaction, Maxis finally informed Cooper of three (3) matters which constitute Due Diligence Issues under the LOI.

- 26. At or around the same time, Cooper independently became aware of and notified Maxis of two (2) additional matters it discovered during the due diligence phase that likewise constitute Due Diligence Issues under the LOI.
- 27. The five (5) matters constituting Due Diligence Issues under the LOI, as set forth supra, involve various unlawful and/or improper non-compliance issues, federal audits and investigations, and severe liabilities facing Lourdes and St. Francis, the entities Cooper originally endeavored to acquire as part of the Transaction.
- 28. The Parties had multiple communications regarding the Due Diligence Issues.
- 29. Cooper, in good faith, provided Maxis with additional time to mitigate the Due Diligence Issues.
  - 30. Maxis could not, did not, and cannot mitigate the Due Diligence Issues.

# Request for Disbursement

- 31. On December 15, 2017, Cooper advised Maxis that it would not proceed with the Transaction, citing the five (5) Due Diligence Issues falling within the definitions set forth in Paragraphs 6(a), 6(b), and 6(d) of the LOI that were the subject of prior communications between the Parties. 12/15/17 Letter from Cooper to Maxis (Ex. C to Cooper's original Complaint filed December 18, 2017).
- 32. In the same December 15, 2017 communication, Cooper advised Maxis of certain conduct which constituted a material breach of the LOI. <u>Id.</u>
- 33. Thus, pursuant to Paragraph 6 of the LOI, Cooper is entitled to a return of the \$15 million being held in escrow, together with all accumulated interest.

- 34. Cooper, by way of its December 15, 2017 letter, provided written notice to Maxis requesting a disbursement of all of the Escrow Fund to it, and specified in reasonable detail the basis for such distribution under the LOI. <u>Id.</u>
- 35. Cooper requested that Maxis sign a joint disbursement request for submission to the Escrow Agent as set forth in Section 3.1 of the Escrow Agreement. <u>Id.</u>
- 36. On December 18, 2017, Maxis notified Cooper that it will not execute a joint disbursement request and that it contests Cooper's right to return of the Escrow Fund. 12/18/17 E-mail from Maxis to Cooper (Ex. D to Cooper's original Complaint filed December 18, 2017).

# COUNT I – DECLARATORY JUDGMENT (Maxis)

- 37. Cooper incorporates each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs 1 through 36 as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. There now exists an actual, justiciable controversy between Cooper and Maxis concerning Cooper's entitlement to the Escrow Fund, to which Cooper and Maxis have a direct interest, and the operative facts are not future, contingent, or uncertain.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, The Cooper Health System, requests a declaratory judgment against Defendant, Maxis Health System:

- i. declaring that Cooper is entitled to a return of the \$15 million being held in escrow, together with all accumulated interest;
- ii. declaring that Maxis is not entitled to any portion of the \$15 million being held in escrow;
- iii. ordering the Escrow Agent to disburse the \$15 million being held in escrow, together with all accumulated interest, to Cooper;

- iv. awarding Cooper the costs of this action, including attorneys' fees; and
- v. awarding Cooper such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**BROWN & CONNERY, LLP** 

Counsel for The Cooper Health System

Dated: 11/19/2018

By: /s/, Joseph T. Carney

William M. Tambussi, Esquire Joseph T. Carney, Esquire Jonathan L. Triantos, Esquire 360 Haddon Avenue

Westmont, New Jersey 08108

Phone: (856) 854-8900 Fax: (856) 858-4967

Email: wtambussi@brownconnery.com Email: jcarney@brownconnery.com Email: jtriantos@brownconnery.com

## DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

William M. Tambussi, Esquire is hereby designated as trial counsel for Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter on all claims.

**BROWN & CONNERY, LLP** 

Counsel for The Cooper Health System

Dated: 11/19/2018

By: [s], Joseph T. Carney

William M. Tambussi, Esquire Joseph T. Carney, Esquire Jonathan L. Triantos, Esquire

360 Haddon Avenue

Westmont, New Jersey 08108

Phone: (856) 854-8900 Fax: (856) 858-4967

Email: wtambussi@brownconnery.com Email: jcarney@brownconnery.com Email: jtriantos@brownconnery.com

# **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury in the above-captioned matter on all claims.

**BROWN & CONNERY, LLP** 

Counsel for The Cooper Health System

Dated: 11/19/2018

By: /s/ Joseph T. Garney

William M. Tambussi, Esquire Joseph T. Carney, Esquire Jonathan L. Triantos, Esquire 360 Haddon Avenue

Westmont, New Jersey 08108

Phone: (856) 854-8900 Fax: (856) 858-4967

Email: wtambussi@brownconnery.com
Email: jcarney@brownconnery.com
Email: jtriantos@brownconnery.com

# **CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 11.2**

I hereby certify that the matter in controversy in this action is not the subject of any other action pending or contemplated in any court, or of any pending or contemplated arbitration or administrative proceeding. I further certify that there are no additional known parties who should be joined in the present action at this time.

I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I may be subject to punishment.

BROWN & CONNERY, LLP Counsel for The Cooper Health System

Dated: 11/19/2018

Joseph T. Carney, Esquire